arXiv:1407.4338v1 [math.AG] 16 Jul 2014

Characterizing the finiteness of the Hausdorff distance between two algebraic curves*

Angel Blasco and Sonia Pérez-Díaz
Departamento de Física y Matemáticas
Universidad de Alcalá
E-28871 Madrid, Spain
angel.blasco@uah.es, sonia.perez@uah.es

Abstract

In this paper, we present a characterization for the Hausdorff distance between two given algebraic curves in the n-dimensional space (parametrically or implicitly defined) to be finite. The characterization is related with the asymptotic behavior of the two curves and it can be easily checked. More precisely, the Hausdorff distance between two curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite if and only if for each infinity branch of $\mathcal{C}$ there exists an infinity branch of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ such that the terms with positive exponent in the corresponding series are the same, and reciprocally.

Keywords: Hausdorff Distance; Algebraic Space Curves; Implicit Polynomial; Parametrization; Infinity Branches; Asymptotic Behavior;

1 Introduction

The Hausdorff distance is one of the most used measures in geometric pattern matching algorithms, computer aided design or computer graphics (see e.g. [17], [19], [20], [29]).

*The author S. Pérez-Díaz is member of the Research Group ASYNACS (Ref. CCEE2011/R34)

Intuitively speaking, given a metric space (E, d) and two arbitrary subsets $A, B \subset E$, the Hausdorff distance assigns to each point of one set the distance to its closest point on the other and takes the maximum over all these values (see [2]). More precisely, the *Hausdorff distance* between A and B is defined as:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x\in A} \inf_{y\in B} d(x, y), \sup_{y\in B} \inf_{x\in A} d(x, y)\}.$$

In this paper, we deal with the particular case where $E = \mathbb{C}^n$, d is the usual unitary distance, and the two arbitrary subsets are two real algebraic curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. In this case, the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is given by

$$d_H(\mathcal{C}, \overline{\mathcal{C}}) = \max\{\sup_{p\in\mathcal{C}} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}}), \sup_{\overline{p}\in\overline{\mathcal{C}}} d(\overline{p}, \mathcal{C})\}$$

where $d(p, \mathcal{C}) = \min\{d(p, q) : q \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

In general, $d_H(A, B)$ may be infinite, and some restrictions have to be imposed to guarantee its finiteness (see e.g. [26]).

As far as the authors know, there is no efficient algorithms for the exact computation of the Hausdorff distance between algebraic varieties (in fact, if both varieties are given in implicit form, the computation of the Hausdorff distance is even harder). Only some results for bounding or estimating the Hausdorff distance as well as computing it for some special cases can be found (see e.g. [4], [9], [15], [18], [19], [27]). These results play an important role in some applications to computer aided geometric design as for instance in the approximate parametrization problem (see e.g. [21], [22], [23], [25], [26]). In that problem, given an affine curve $\mathcal{C}$ (say that it is a perturbation of a rational curve), the goal is to compute a rational parametrization of a rational affine curve $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ near $\mathcal{C}$ (one may state the problem also for surfaces). The effectiveness of the algorithm will depend on the closeness of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ and, at least, one needs to show that the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite. The potential applications of the Hausdorff distance also include error bounds for the approximate implicitization of curves and surfaces (see e.g. [5], [10], [12]).

In this paper, we characterize whether the Hausdorff distance between two given algebraic curves in the n-dimensional space is finite. These two curves can be both, parametrically or implicitly defined. The characterization improves Proposition 5.4 in [7], and it is based on the notion of infinity

branch which reflects the status of a curve at the points with sufficiently large coordinates.

This concept is an essential tool to analyze the behavior at the infinity of an algebraic curve, which implies a wide applicability in many active research fields. For instance, infinity branches allow us to sketch the graph of a given algebraic curve as well as to study its topology (see e.g. [13], [14], [16]). In addition, the notion of g-asymptote is introduced from the concept of infinity branch (see [6] and [8]). We say that a curve $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a *generalized asymptote* (or *g-asymptote*) of another curve $\mathcal{C}$ if $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ approaches $\mathcal{C}$ at some infinity branch, and $\mathcal{C}$ can not be approached at that branch by a new curve of lower degree (that is, the notion of g-asymptote generalizes the classical notion of (linear) asymptote).

In this paper, we introduce the concept of curves, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, having a *similar asymptotic behavior*, which is concerned with the convergence/divergence of their infinity branches. More precisely, we say that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ have a *similar asymptotic behavior* if there are no infinity branches in $\mathcal{C}$ which diverge from all the infinity branches in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, and reciprocally.

From this concept, we prove the main theorem, which states a necessary and sufficient condition for the Hausdorff distance between two curves to be finite. More precisely, we show that, given two algebraic curves in the affine n-space, the Hausdorff distance between them is finite if and only if they have *a similar asymptotic behavior*. This condition is very easy to formulate from the computational point of view and thus, we present an effective algorithm that checks if it holds.

The structure of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we present the terminology that will be used throughout the paper as well as some previous results. These results are presented for both, curves given implicitly and curves defined parametrically. Section 3, is devoted to present the main theorem where the finiteness of the Hausdorff distance is characterized. For this purpose, some previous technical lemmas are proved. In addition, we derive an algorithm that determine whether the Hausdorff distance between two given algebraic curves is finite and we illustrate it with some examples.

2 Notation and terminology

In this section, we present some notions and terminology that will be used throughout the paper. In particular, we need some previous results concerning local parametrizations and Puiseux series. For further details see [3], [7], [11], Section 2.5 in [28], and Chapter 4 (Section 2) in [30].

We denote by $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ the domain of *formal power series* in the indeterminate t with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{C}$, i.e. the set of all sums of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. The quotient field of $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is called the field of *formal Laurent series*, and it is denoted by $\mathbb{C}((t))$. It is well known that every non-zero formal Laurent series $A \in \mathbb{C}((t))$ can be written in the form $A(t) = t^k \cdot (a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \cdots)$, where $a_0 \neq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, the field $\mathbb{C} \ll t \gg := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}((t^{1/n}))$ is called the field of *formal Puiseux series*. Note that Puiseux series are power series of the form

$$\varphi(t) = m + a_1 t^{N_1/N} + a_2 t^{N_2/N} + a_3 t^{N_3/N} + \cdots \in \mathbb{C} \ll t \gg, \quad a_i \neq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $N, N_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \geq 1$, and $0 < N_1 < N_2 < \cdots$. The natural number N is known as *the ramification index* of the series. We denote it as $\nu(\varphi)$ (see [11]).

The *order* of a non-zero (Puiseux or Laurent) series φ is the smallest exponent of a term with non-vanishing coefficient in φ. We denote it by $\mathrm{ord}(\varphi)$. We let the order of 0 be ∞.

The most important property of Puiseux series is given by Puiseux's Theorem, which states that if $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field, then the field $\mathbb{K} \ll x \gg$ is algebraically closed (see Theorems 2.77 and 2.78 in [28]). A proof of Puiseux's Theorem can be given constructively by the Newton Polygon Method (see e.g. Section 2.5 in [28]).

In the following, we deal with space curves that are implicitly defined. In Subsection 2.2, we will consider space curves parametrically defined.

2.1 Implicitly defined space curves

Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a curve in the n-dimensional space defined by a finite set of real polynomials $f_1(\overline{x}), \ldots, f_s(\overline{x}) \in \mathbb{R}[\overline{x}]$, $s \geq n-1$, where $\overline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

The assumption of reality of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ is included because of the nature of the problem, but the theory developed in this paper can be applied for the

case of complex non-real curves.

Let $\mathcal{C}^*$ be the corresponding projective curve defined by the homogeneous polynomials $F_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}]$, $i=1,\ldots,s$. Furthermore, let $P=(1:m_2:\ldots:m_n:0)$, $m_j\in\mathbb{C}$, $j=2,\ldots,n$ be an infinity point of $\mathcal{C}^*$.

In addition, we consider the curve implicitly defined by the polynomials $g_i(x_2,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}) := F_i(1,x_2,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}[x_2,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}]$ for $i=1,\ldots,s$. Observe that $g_i(p)=0$, where $p=(m_2,\ldots,m_n,0)$. Let $I\in\mathbb{R}(x_{n+1})[x_2,\ldots,x_n]$ be the ideal generated by $g_i(x_2,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1})$, $i=1,\ldots,s$, in the ring $\mathbb{R}(x_{n+1})[x_2,\ldots,x_n]$. We assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is not contained in some hyperplane $x_{n+1}=c$, $c\in\mathbb{C}$ (otherwise, one can consider $\mathcal{C}$ as a curve in the $(n-1)$-dimensional space), and thus we have that x_{n+1} is not algebraic over $\mathbb{R}$. Under this assumption, the ideal I (i.e. the system of equations $g_1=\cdots=g_s=0$) has only finitely many solutions in the n-dimensional affine space over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{R}(x_{n+1})$ (which is contained in $\mathbb{C}\ll x_{n+1}\gg$). Then, there are finitely many $(n-1)$-tuples $(\varphi_2(t),\ldots,\varphi_n(t))$ where $\varphi_j(t)\in\mathbb{C}\ll t\gg$, $j\in\{2,\ldots,n\}$, such that $g_i(\varphi_2(t),\ldots,\varphi_n(t),t)=0$, $i=1,\ldots,s$, and $\varphi_j(0)=m_j$, $j=2,\ldots,n$. Each of these $(n-1)$-tuples is a solution of the system associated with the infinity point $(1:m_2:\ldots:m_n:0)$, and each $\varphi_j(t)$ converges in a neighborhood of $t=0$. Moreover, since $\varphi_j(0)=m_j$, $j=2,\ldots,n$, these series do not have terms with negative exponents; in fact, they have the form

$$\varphi_j(t)=m_j+\sum_{i\geq 1} a_{i,j}t^{N_{i,j}/N_j}$$

where $N_j,\ N_{i,j}\in\mathbb{N},\ 0<N_{1,j}<N_{2,j}<\cdots$,.

It is important to remark that if $\varphi(t):=(\varphi_2(t),\ldots,\varphi_n(t))$ is a solution of the system, then $\sigma_\epsilon(\varphi)(t):=(\sigma_\epsilon(\varphi_2)(t),\ldots,\sigma_\epsilon(\varphi_n)(t))$ is another solution of the system, where

$$\sigma_\epsilon(\varphi_j)(t)=m_j+\sum_{i\geq 1} a_{i,j}\epsilon^{\lambda_{i,j}}t^{N_{i,j}/N_j},\ N_j,\ N_{i,j}\in\mathbb{N},\ 0<N_{1,j}<N_{2,j}<\cdots,$$

$N:=\mathrm{lcm}(N_2,\ldots,N_n)$, $\lambda_{i,j}:=N_{i,j}N/N_j\in\mathbb{N}$, and $\epsilon^N=1$ (see [3]). We refer to these solutions as the *conjugates* of φ. The set of all (distinct) conjugates of φ is called the *conjugacy class* of φ, and the number of different conjugates is N. We denote the natural number N as $\nu(\varphi)$.

Under these conditions and reasoning as in [7], we get that there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for $i = 1, \ldots, s$,

$$F_i(1 : \varphi_2(t) : \ldots : \varphi_n(t) : t) = g_i(\varphi_2(t), \ldots, \varphi_n(t), t) = 0$$

for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|t| < M$. This implies that

$$F_i(t^{-1} : t^{-1}\varphi_2(t) : \ldots : t^{-1}\varphi_n(t) : 1) = f_i(t^{-1}, t^{-1}\varphi_2(t), \ldots, t^{-1}\varphi_n(t)) = 0,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < |t| < M$.

Now, we set $t^{-1} = z$, and we obtain that for $i = 1, \ldots, s$,

$$f_i(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) = 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| > M^{-1}, \qquad \text{where}$$

$$r_j(z) = z\varphi_j(z^{-1}) =$$
$$m_j z + a_{1,j} z^{1-N_{1,j}/N_j} + a_{2,j} z^{1-N_{2,j}/N_j} + a_{3,j} z^{1-N_{3,j}/N_j} + \cdots, \qquad (1)$$

$a_{i,j} \neq 0$, $N_j, N_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \ldots$, and $0 < N_{1,j} < N_{2,j} < \cdots$.

Since $\nu(\varphi) = N$, we get that there are N different series in its conjugacy class. Let $\varphi_{\alpha,j}$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ be these series, and

$$r_{\alpha,j}(z) = z\varphi_{\alpha,j}(z^{-1}) =$$

$$m_j z + a_{1,j} c_\alpha^{\lambda_{1,j}} z^{1-N_{1,j}/N_j} + a_{2,j} c_\alpha^{\lambda_{2,j}} z^{1-N_{2,j}/N_j} + a_{3,j} c_\alpha^{\lambda_{3,j}} z^{1-N_{3,j}/N_j} + \cdots \qquad (2)$$

where $N := \operatorname{lcm}(N_2, \ldots, N_n)$, $\lambda_{i,j} := N_{i,j}N/N_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $c_1, \ldots, c_N$ are the N complex roots of $x^N = 1$. Now we are ready to introduce the notion of infinity branch. The following definitions and results generalize those presented in [7] for algebraic plane curves, and in [8] for algebraic space curves.

Definition 2.1. *An* infinity branch of a n-dimensional space curve $\mathcal{C}$ *associated to the infinity point* $P = (1 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$, $m_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, *is a set* $B = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{N} L_\alpha$, *where* $L_\alpha = \{(z, r_{\alpha,2}(z), \ldots, r_{\alpha,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$, *and the series* $r_{\alpha,j}$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, *are given by (2). The subsets* $L_1, \ldots, L_N$ *are called the* leaves *of the infinity branch* B.

Remark 2.2. *An infinity branch is uniquely determined from one leaf, up to conjugation. That is, let B be an infinity branch and let*

$$L = \{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| > M\}$$

be one of its leaves, with

$$r_j(z) = z\varphi_j(z^{-1}) = m_j z + a_{1,j} z^{1-N_{1,j}/N_j} + a_{2,j} z^{1-N_{2,j}/N_j} + a_{3,j} z^{1-N_{3,j}/N_j} + \cdots .$$

Then, any other leaf L_α has the form

$$L_\alpha = \{(z, r_{\alpha,2}(z), \ldots, r_{\alpha,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| > M\}$$

where $r_{\alpha,j} = r_j$, $j = 2, \ldots, N$, up to conjugation; i.e.

$$r_{\alpha,j}(z) = z\varphi_{\alpha,j}(z^{-1}) =$$

$$= m_j z + a_{1,j} c_\alpha^{\lambda_{1,j}} z^{1-N_{1,j}/N_j} + a_{2,j} c_\alpha^{\lambda_{2,j}} z^{1-N_{2,j}/N_j} + a_{3,j} c_\alpha^{\lambda_{3,j}} z^{1-N_{3,j}/N_j} + \cdots$$

$N, N_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_{i,j} := N_{i,j}N/N_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$ *and* $c_\alpha^N = 1,\ \alpha = 1, \ldots, N$.

Remark 2.3. *Observe that the above approach is presented for infinity points of the form $(1 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$. For the infinity points $(0 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$, with $m_j \neq 0$ for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$, we reason similarly but we dehomogenize w.r.t x_j. More precisely, let us assume that $m_2 \neq 0$. Then, we consider the curve defined by the polynomials $g_i(x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_{n+1}) := F_i(x_1, 1, x_3, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_{n+1}]$, $i = 1, \ldots, s$, and we reason as above. We get that an infinity branch of $\mathcal{C}$ associated to the infinity point $P = (0 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$, $m_2 \neq 0$, is a set $B = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^N L_\alpha$, where $L_\alpha = \{(r_{\alpha,1}(z), z, r_{\alpha,3}(z), \ldots, r_{\alpha,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| > M\}$, $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Additionally, instead of working with this type of branches, if the space curve $\mathcal{C}$ has infinity points of the form $(0 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$, one may consider a linear change of coordinates. Thus, in the following, we may assume w.l.o.g that the given algebraic curve $\mathcal{C}$ only has infinity points of the form $(1 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$. More details on these type of branches are given in [7] and [8].*

In the following, we introduce the notions of convergent and divergent leaves. Intuitively speaking, two leaves converge (diverge) if they get closer (get away) as they tend to infinity.

Definition 2.4. *Let* $L = \{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$ *and* $\overline{L} = \{(z, \overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\}$ *be two leaves that belong to two infinity branches* B *and* $\overline{B}$*, respectively. We say that*

1. L *and* $\overline{L}$ converge *if*

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} d((r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)), (\overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z))) = 0.$$

2. L *and* $\overline{L}$ diverge *if*

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} d((r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)), (\overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z))) = \infty.$$

Remark 2.5. *We consider any distance* $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|$, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, *where* $\|p\|$ *denotes the norm of a point* $p \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$*. We recall that all norms are equivalent in* $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$*. Hence,*

1. $\lim_{z\to\infty} d((r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)), (\overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z))) = 0$ *if and only if* $\lim_{z\to\infty}(\overline{r}_j(z) - r_j(z)) = 0$ *for every* $j = 2, \ldots, n$.

2. $\lim_{z\to\infty} d((r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)), (\overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z))) = \infty$ *if and only if* $\lim_{z\to\infty}(\overline{r}_j(z) - r_j(z)) = \infty$ *for some* $j = 2, \ldots, n$.

Remark 2.6. *Observe that it may happen that*

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} d((r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)), (\overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z))) = c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$$

which is equivalent to $\lim_{z\to\infty}(\overline{r}_j(z) - r_j(z)) = c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ *for every* $j = 2, \ldots, n$ *and* $c_j \neq 0$ *for some* $j = 2, \ldots, n$*. In this case,* L *and* $\overline{L}$ do not converge neither diverge *(compare with Definition 2.4).*

The following lemma provides a procedure to determine whether two leaves converge or diverge without the need of computing limits.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $L = \{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$ *and* $\overline{L} = \{(z, \overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\}$ *be two leaves that belong to two infinity branches* B *and* $\overline{B}$*, respectively. It holds that:*

1. L *and* $\overline{L}$ *converge if and only if the terms with non-negative exponent in the series* $r_j(z)$ *and* $\overline{r}_j(z)$ *are the same, for every* $j = 2, \ldots, n$.

2. *L and $\overline{L}$ diverge if and only if the terms with positive exponent in the series $r_j(z)$ and $\overline{r}_j(z)$ are not the same, for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$.*

Proof: Let

$$r_j(z) = m_j z + a_{1,j} z^{1-N_{1,j}/N_j} + a_{2,j} z^{1-N_{2,j}/N_j} + a_{3,j} z^{1-N_{3,j}/N_j} + \cdots,$$

$a_{i,j} \neq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq 1, N_j, N_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < N_{1,j} < N_{2,j} < \cdots$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. and

$$\overline{r}_j(z) = \overline{m}_j z + \overline{a}_{1,j} z^{1-\overline{N}_{1,j}/\overline{N}_j} + \overline{a}_{2,j} z^{1-\overline{N}_{2,j}/\overline{N}_j} + \overline{a}_{3,j} z^{1-\overline{N}_{3,j}/\overline{N}_j} + \cdots,$$

$\overline{a}_{i,j} \neq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq 1, \overline{N}_j, \overline{N}_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < \overline{N}_{1,j} < \overline{N}_{2,j} < \cdots$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Then,

$$r_j(z) - \overline{r}_j(z) = m_j z - \overline{m}_j z + a_{1,j} z^{\frac{N-N_1}{N}} - \overline{a}_{1,j} z^{\frac{\overline{N}-\overline{N}_1}{\overline{N}}} + a_{2,j} z^{\frac{N-N_2}{N}} - \overline{a}_{2,j} z^{\frac{\overline{N}-\overline{N}_2}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots.$$

Under these conditions, it holds that:

1. $\lim_{z\to\infty}(r_j(z) - \overline{r}_j(z)) = 0$ for every $j = 2, \ldots, n$, if and only if all the exponents in the series $r_j(z) - \overline{r}_j(z)$ are negative. This situation holds if the terms with non-negative exponent in the series $r_j(z)$ and $\overline{r}_j(z)$ are the same for every $j = 2, \ldots, n$.

2. $\lim_{z\to\infty}(r_j(z) - \overline{r}_j(z)) = \infty$ for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$, if and only if $r_j(z) - \overline{r}_j(z)$ has some term with positive exponent. This situation holds if the terms with positive exponent in the series, $r_j(z)$ and $\overline{r}_j(z)$, are not the same for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$. □

Remark 2.8. *If the terms with positive exponent in the series $r_j(z)$ and $\overline{r}_j(z)$ are the same for every $j = 2, \ldots, n$, but the independent terms (the terms with exponent zero) are different for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$, we have that L and $\overline{L}$ do not diverge neither converge.*

In the following, we introduce the notions of convergent and divergent branches. These concepts are obtained from Definition 2.4, and they are an indispensable tool for comparing the asymptotic behavior of two curves.

Definition 2.9. *Let $B = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{N} L_\alpha$ and $\overline{B} = \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{\overline{N}} \overline{L}_\beta$ be two infinity branches of two algebraic curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, respectively.*

1. *B and $\overline{B}$ converge if there are two convergent leaves $L_\alpha \subseteq B, \alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ and $\overline{L}_\beta \subseteq \overline{B}, \beta = 1, \ldots, \overline{N}$.*

2. *B and $\overline{B}$ diverge if any two leaves $L_\alpha \subseteq B, \alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ and $\overline{L}_\beta \subseteq \overline{B}, \beta = 1, \ldots, \overline{N}$ diverge.*

From Definition 2.9 we get that two infinity branches B and $\overline{B}$ do not diverge if there are two leaves, $L \subseteq B$ and $\overline{L} \subseteq \overline{B}$, that do not diverge. Furthermore, the next lemma states that, in this case, every leaf of B is non-divergent with some leaf of $\overline{B}$, and reciprocally.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $B = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{N} L_\alpha$ and $\overline{B} = \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{\overline{N}} \overline{L}_\beta$ be two non-divergent infinity branches. Then, for each leaf $L_\alpha \subseteq B$ there exists a leaf $\overline{L}_\beta \subseteq \overline{B}$ that does not diverge with L_α, and reciprocally.*

Proof: Let B and $\overline{B}$ be two non-divergent branches. Let us prove that for any leaf $L_\alpha \subseteq B$ there exist one or more leaves $\overline{L}_\beta \subseteq \overline{B}$ non-divergent with L_α, and reciprocally. From the discussion above, we know that there exist two leaves $\{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\} \subset B$ and $\{(z, \overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\} \subset \overline{B}$ that do not diverge. Let

$$r_j(z) = z\varphi_j(z^{-1}) = m_j z + u_{1,j} z^{1-\frac{N_{1,j}}{N}} + \cdots + u_{k,j} z^{1-\frac{N_{k,j}}{N}} + u_{k+1,j} z^{1-\frac{N_{k+1,j}}{N}} + \cdots,$$

$$\overline{r}_j(z) = z\overline{\varphi}_j(z^{-1}) = \overline{m}_j z + \overline{u}_{1,j} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{1,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots + \overline{u}_{k,j} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{k,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \overline{u}_{k+1,j} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{k+1,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots,$$

where $\overline{u}_{i,j} u_{i,j} \neq 0$, $N = \nu(B) = \operatorname{lcm}(N_2, \ldots, N_n)$, $\overline{N} = \nu(\overline{B}) = \operatorname{lcm}(\overline{N}_2, \ldots, \overline{N}_n)$, $N_{k,j} < N \leq N_{k+1,j}$ and $\overline{N}_{k,j} < \overline{N} \leq \overline{N}_{k+1,j}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (note that k may depend on j). Note also that the expression above differs slightly from that of (1), since we are using N and $\overline{N}$ as the common denominators for the exponents of the series r_j and $\overline{r}_j$ respectively.

From Lemma 2.7, we deduce that the terms with positive exponent in r_j and $\overline{r}_j$ are the same. Thus, $\overline{m}_j = m_j$, $\overline{u}_{i,j} = u_{i,j}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, and

$$r_j(z) = m_j z + u_{1,j} z^{1-\frac{n_{1,j}}{n}} + \cdots + u_{k,j} z^{1-\frac{n_{k,j}}{n}} + u_{k+1,j} z^{1-\frac{N_{k+1,j}}{N}} + \cdots,$$

$$\overline{r}_j(z) = m_j z + u_{1,j} z^{1-\frac{n_{1,j}}{n}} + \cdots + u_{k,j} z^{1-\frac{n_{k,j}}{n}} + \overline{u}_{k+1,j} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{k+1,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots,$$

where $\overline{u}_{i,j}, u_{i,j} \neq 0$, $n, n_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < n_{1,j} < \cdots < n_{k,j} < n$. Observe that we have simplified the non negative exponents such that $\gcd(n, n_{1,j}, \ldots, n_{k,j}) = 1$,for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Hence, there are $b, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N_{i,j} = bn_{i,j}$, $N = bn$, $\overline{N}_{i,j} = \overline{b}n_{i,j}$, and $\overline{N} = \overline{b}n$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $j = 2, \ldots, n$.

Under these conditions, we observe that the different leaves of B and $\overline{B}$ are obtained by conjugation on $r_j(z)$ and $\overline{r}_j(z)$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$. That is, any two leaves $L_\alpha \subseteq B$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ and $\overline{L}_\beta \subseteq \overline{B}$, $\beta = 1, \ldots, \overline{N}$ will have the form $L_\alpha = \{(z, r_{\alpha,2}(z), \ldots, r_{\alpha,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$ and $\overline{L}_\beta = \{(z, \overline{r}_{\beta,2}(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_{\beta,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\}$, where $r_{\alpha,j}(z) =$

$$m_j z + u_{1,j} c_\alpha^{N_{1,j}} z^{1-\frac{N_{1,j}}{N}} + \cdots + u_{k,j} c_\alpha^{N_{k,j}} z^{1-\frac{N_{k,j}}{N}} + u_{k+1,j} c_\alpha^{N_{k+1,j}} z^{1-\frac{N_{k+1,j}}{N}} + \cdots,$$

and $\overline{r}_{\beta,j}(z) =$

$$\overline{m}_j z + \overline{u}_{1,j} d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{1,j}} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{1,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots + \overline{u}_{k,j} d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{k,j}} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{k,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \overline{u}_{k+1,j} d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{k+1,j}} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{k+1,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots,$$

$c_1, \ldots, c_N$ are the N complex roots of $x^N = 1$, and $d_1, \ldots, d_{\overline{N}}$ are the $\overline{N}$ complex roots of $x^{\overline{N}} = 1$ (see equation (2)).

We simplify the exponents and, using that $\overline{u}_{i,j} = u_{i,j}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we get that:

$$r_{\alpha,j}(z) = m_j z + u_{1,j} c_\alpha^{N_{1,j}} z^{1-\frac{n_{1,j}}{n}} + \cdots + u_{k,j} c_\alpha^{N_{k,j}} z^{1-\frac{n_{k,j}}{n}} + u_{k+1,j} c_\alpha^{N_{k+1,j}} z^{1-\frac{N_{k+1,j}}{N}} + \cdots$$

$$\overline{r}_{\beta,j}(z) = m_j z + u_{1,j} d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{1,j}} z^{1-\frac{n_{1,j}}{n}} + \cdots + u_{k,j} d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{k,j}} z^{1-\frac{n_{k,j}}{n}} + \overline{u}_{k+1,j} d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{k+1,j}} z^{1-\frac{\overline{N}_{k+1,j}}{\overline{N}}} + \cdots.$$

Now, we prove that for any leaf L_α there exist one or more leaves $\overline{L}_\beta$ non-divergent with L_α. For this purpose, we just need to show that, given any value of $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$, there exist one or more values of $\beta = 1, \ldots, \overline{N}$ such that $c_\alpha^{N_{i,j}} = d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{i,j}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$.

Indeed, since the coefficients c_α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ are the N complex roots of $x^N = 1$, we have that $c_\alpha = e^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)\pi I}{N}}$, where I is the imaginary unit. Taking into account that $N = bn$, we deduce that $c_\alpha^b = e^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)\pi I}{n}}$ for each $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ and $c_\alpha^b = c_{\alpha+(m-1)n}^b$ for each $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$ and $m = 1, \ldots, b$. That is, c_α^b, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$ are the n complex roots of $x^n = 1$. Reasoning similarly, we have that $d_\beta^{\overline{b}} = e^{\frac{2(\beta-1)\pi I}{n}}$ for each $\beta = 1, \ldots, \overline{N}$ and $d_\beta^{\overline{b}} = d_{\beta+(m-1)n}^{\overline{b}}$ for each $\beta = 1, \ldots, n$ and $m = 1, \ldots, \overline{b}$. That is, $d_\beta^{\overline{b}}$, $\beta = 1, \ldots, n$ are the n

complex roots of $x^n = 1$. Hence, for each $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N$ there are one or more $\beta = 1, \ldots, \overline{N}$ such that $c_\alpha^b = d_\beta^{\overline{b}}$, and reciprocally. Finally, the result follows taking into account that $c_\alpha^{N_{i,j}} = \left(c_\alpha^b\right)^{n_{i,j}} = \left(d_\beta^{\overline{b}}\right)^{n_{i,j}} = d_\beta^{\overline{N}_{i,j}}$. □

Remark 2.11. *Let B and $\overline{B}$ be two infinity branches associated with two infinity points $P = (1 : m_2 : \cdots : m_n)$ and $\overline{P} = (1 : \overline{m}_2 : \cdots : \overline{m}_n)$, respectively. From the proof of Lemma 2.10, if B and $\overline{B}$ do not diverge, then $m_j = \overline{m}_j$ for every $j = 2, \ldots, n$ which implies that two non-divergent infinity branches are associated with the same infinity point (see Remark 4.5 in [7]).*

For the sake of simplicity, and taking into account that an infinity branch B is uniquely determined from one leaf, up to conjugation (see Remark 2.2), we identify an infinity branch by just one of its leaves. Hence, in the following

$$B = \{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}, \qquad M \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

will stand for the infinity branch whose leaves are obtained by conjugation on

$$r_j(z) = m_j z + a_{1,j} z^{1-N_{1,j}/N_j} + a_{2,j} z^{1-N_{2,j}/N_j} + a_{3,j} z^{1-N_{3,j}/N_j} + \cdots,$$

$a_{i,j} \neq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq 1$, $N_j, N_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < N_{1,j} < N_{2,j} < \cdots$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$. Observe that the results stated above hold for any leaf of B.

Finally, we remark that there exists well known algorithms that allow to compute the series $\varphi_j(t) \in \mathbb{C} \ll t \gg$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, and then the branch $B = \{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$ (see e.g. [3]). In addition, in [8], a procedure for computing the branches for $n = 3$ is presented. This method is based on projections over the plane, and it can be generalized for a given curve in the n-dimensional space by successively eliminating variables and reducing the problem to the computation of infinity branches for plane curves (a method for successively eliminating the variables, by means of univariate resultants, is presented in [24]). For the plane case ($n = 2$) methods are well known (see e.g. [6], [7]).

In the following example, we compute the infinity branches for a given algebraic curve in the 4-dimensional space implicitly defined by the polynomials $f_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Example 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the irreducible curve defined over $\mathbb{C}$ by the polynomials*

$$f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = x_1 - x_2^2 + 2x_3, \quad f_2(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = x_1 + x_2 - x_4^2, \quad \textit{and}$$

$$f_3(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = 2x_2 - x_3^2 + x_4.$$

The projection along the x_4-axis, $\mathcal{C}^p$, is defined by the polynomials

$$f_1^p(x_1,x_2,x_3) = x_1 - x_2^2 + 2x_3, \quad \textit{and} \quad f_2^p(x_1,x_2,x_3) = x_1 + x_2 - 4x_2^2 + 4x_2x_3^2 - x_3^4$$

(these polynomials can be obtained by computing univariate resultants). By applying the method described in [8], we compute the infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}_p$. We obtain the branch $B_1^p = \{(z, r_{1,2}(z), r_{1,3}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_1^p\}$, where

$$r_{1,2}(z) = z^{1/2} + \sqrt{3}z^{-1/4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}z^{-3/4}}{12} - \frac{z^{-1}}{2} - \frac{7\sqrt{3}z^{-5/4}}{288} + \cdots$$

$$r_{1,3}(z) = \sqrt{3}z^{1/4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}z^{-1/4}}{12} + z^{-1/2} - \frac{7\sqrt{3}z^{-3/4}}{288} + \frac{z^{-1}}{4} + \cdots,$$

and the branch $B_2^p = \{(z, r_{2,2}(z), r_{2,3}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_2^p\}$, where

$$r_{2,2}(z) = z^{1/2} + z^{-1/4} - \frac{z^{-3/4}}{4} + \frac{z^{-1}}{2} - \frac{z^{-5/4}}{32} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{2,3}(z) = z^{1/4} - \frac{z^{-1/4}}{4} + z^{-1/2} + \frac{z^{-3/4}}{32} - \frac{z^{-1}}{4} + \cdots.$$

Note that both branches are associated to the infinity point $P_1 = (1:0:0:0)$. Moreover, $\nu(B_1^p) = \nu(B_2^p) = 4$, and thus each branch has 4 (conjugated) leaves. That is, $B_1^p = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^4 L_{1,\alpha}$, where $L_{1,\alpha}$ are obtained by conjugation in the above series $r_{1,2}$ and $r_{1,3}$ (similarly for B_2^p).

Once we have the infinity branches of the projected curve $\mathcal{C}^p$, we compute the infinity branches of the curve $\mathcal{C}$. We use the lift function $h(x_1,x_2,x_3) = -2x_2 + x_3^2$ to get the fourth component of these branches (we apply the results in [5] to compute h). Thus, the infinity branches of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ are $B_1 = \{(z, r_{1,2}(z), r_{1,3}(z), r_{1,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_1\}$, where

$$r_{1,4}(z) = h(z, r_{1,2}(z), r_{1,3}(z)) = z^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{z^{-1/2}}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{3}z^{-3/4}}{2} + \cdots$$

and $B_2 = \{(z, r_{2,2}(z), r_{2,3}(z), r_{2,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_2\}$*, where*

$$r_{2,4}(z) = h(z, r_{2,2}(z), r_{2,3}(z)) = -z^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{z^{-1/2}}{8} - \frac{z^{-3/4}}{2} + \cdots .$$

In Figure 1, we plot the curve $\mathcal{C}^p$ *and some points of the infinity branches* B_1^p *and* B_2^p*.*



Figure 1: Curve $\mathcal{C}^p$ and infinity branches B_1^p (left) and B_2^p (right).

2.2 Parametrically defined space curves

In Subsection 2.1, we have assumed that the given real algebraic curve in the n-dimensional space is defined implicitly by some polynomials. In this section, we show how to deal with rational curves defined parametrically.

Note that the definitions introduced above are independent on whether the curve is defined parametrically or implicitly. However, the method to compute the infinity branches has to be different (of course, one may implicitize and reason as in Subsection 2.1, but we are interested in computing the infinity branches from the given parametrization without implicitizing).

Thus, in this subsection, we present a method to compute infinity branches of a rational curve in the n-dimensional space from their parametric representation (without implicitizing). Similarly as above, we work over $\mathbb{C}$, but we assume that the curve has infinitely many points in the affine plane over $\mathbb{R}$ and then, the curve has a real parametrization. The method presented generalize the results in [8].

Under these conditions, in the following, we consider a real space curve $\mathcal{C}$ in the n-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}^n$, defined by the parametrization

$$\mathcal{P}(s) = (p_1(s), \ldots, p_n(s)) \in \mathbb{R}(s)^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n, \quad p_i(s) = p_{i1}(s)/p(s),\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

We assume that we have prepared the input curve $\mathcal{C}$, by means of a suitable linear change of coordinates (if necessary) such that $(0 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$ ($m_j \neq 0$ for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$) is not an infinity point (see Remark 2.3). Note that, hence, $\deg(p_1) \geq 1$.

Now, let $\mathcal{C}^*$ denote the projective curve associated to $\mathcal{C}$. We have that a parametrization of $\mathcal{C}^*$ is given by $\mathcal{P}^*(s) = (p_{11}(s) : \cdots : p_{n1}(s) : p(s))$ or, equivalently,

$$\mathcal{P}^*(s) = \left(1 : \frac{p_{21}(s)}{p_{11}(s)} : \cdots : \frac{p_{n1}(s)}{p_{11}(s)} : \frac{p(s)}{p_{11}(s)}\right).$$

Under these conditions, we show how to compute the infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}$. That is, the sets $B = \{(z : r_2(z) : \ldots : r_n(z)) : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$, where $r_j(z) = z\varphi_j(z^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C} \ll z \gg$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$. We recall that these series must verify $F_i(1 : \varphi_2(t) : \ldots : \varphi_n(t) : t) = 0$ around $t = 0$, where F_i, $i = 1, \ldots, s$ are the polynomials defining implicitly $\mathcal{C}^*$ (see Subsection 2.1). Observe that in this subsection, we are given the parametrization $\mathcal{P}^*$ of $\mathcal{C}^*$ and then, $F_i(\mathcal{P}^*(s)) = F_i\left(1 : \frac{p_{21}(s)}{p_{11}(s)} : \cdots : \frac{p_{n1}(s)}{p_{11}(s)} : \frac{p(s)}{p_{11}(s)}\right) = 0$. Thus, intuitively speaking, in order to compute the infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}$, and in particular the series φ_j, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, one needs to "reparametrize" the parametrization $\mathcal{P}^*(s) = \left(1 : \frac{p_{21}(s)}{p_{11}(s)} : \ldots : \frac{p_{n1}(s)}{p_{11}(s)} : \frac{p(s)}{p_{11}(s)}\right)$ in the form $(1 : \varphi_2(t) : \ldots : \varphi_n(t) : t)$ around $t = 0$. For this purpose, the idea is to look for a value of the parameter s, say $\ell(t) \in \mathbb{C} \ll t \gg$, such that $\mathcal{P}^*(\ell(t)) = (1 : \varphi_2(t) : \ldots : \varphi_n(t) : t)$ around $t = 0$.

Hence, from the above reasoning, we deduce that first, we have to consider the equation $p(s)/p_{11}(s) = t$ (or equivalently, $p(s) - tp_{11}(s) = 0$), and we have to solve it in the variable s around $t = 0$ (note that $\deg(p_1) \geq 1$). From Puiseux's Theorem, there exist solutions $\ell_1(t), \ell_2(t), \ldots, \ell_k(t) \in \mathbb{C} \ll t \gg$, where $k = \deg(p_1)$, such that, $p(\ell_i(t)) - tp_{11}(\ell_i(t)) = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, in a neighborhood of $t = 0$.

Thus, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, there exists $M_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the points $(1 : \varphi_{i,2}(t) : \ldots : \varphi_{i,n}(t) : t)$ or equivalently, the points $(t^{-1} : t^{-1}\varphi_{i,2}(t) : \ldots : t^{-1}\varphi_{i,n}(t) : 1)$, where

$$\varphi_{i,j}(t) = \frac{p_{j,1}(\ell_i(t))}{p_{11}(\ell_i(t))}, \quad j = 2, \ldots, n, \tag{3}$$

are in $\mathcal{C}^*$ for $|t| < M_i$. Observe that $\varphi_{i,j}(t)$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, are Puiseux series, since $p_{j,1}(\ell_i(t))$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$, and $p_{11}(\ell_i(t))$ can be written as Puiseux series (around $t = 0$) and $\mathbb{C} \ll t \gg$ is a field.

Finally, we set $z = t^{-1}$. Then, we have that the points $(z : r_{i,2}(z) : \ldots : r_{i,n}(z))$, where $r_{i,j}(z) = z\varphi_{i,j}(z^{-1}), j = 2, \ldots, n$, are in $\mathcal{C}$ for $|z| > M_i^{-1}$. Hence, the infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}$ are the sets

$$B_i = \{(z : r_{i,2}(z) : \ldots : r_{i,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_i^{-1}\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k.$$

Remark 2.13. *We observe that:*

1. *The series $\ell_i(t)$ satisfies that $p(\ell_i(t))/p_{11}(\ell_i(t)) = t$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then, from equality (3), we have that for $j = 2, \ldots, n$*

$$\varphi_{i,j}(t) = \frac{p_{j,1}(\ell_i(t))}{p(\ell_i(t))} t = p_j(\ell_i(t))t, \text{ and } r_{i,j}(z) = z\varphi_{i,j}(z^{-1}) = p_j(\ell_i(z^{-1})).$$

2. *In order to compute $r_{i,j}(z)$, we first write $p_j(\ell_i(t))$ as Puiseux series around $t = 0$, and then we set $t = z^{-1}$.*

3. *When we compute the series ℓ_i, we cannot handle its infinite terms so it must be truncated, which may distort the computation of the series $r_{i,j}$. The number of affected terms in $r_{i,j}$ depends on the number of terms computed in ℓ_i. That is, as more terms we compute in ℓ_i, as more accurate the computation of $r_{i,j}$ is. More details on this question are analyzed in Proposition 5.4 in [8].*

In the following example, we show the above procedure and we compute the infinity branches for a given curve defined by a parametrization $\mathcal{P}(s) \in \mathbb{R}(s)^4$.

Example 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the curve defined by the parametrization*

$$\mathcal{P}(s) = (p_1(s), p_2(s), p_3(s), p_4(s)) = \left(\frac{p_{11}(s)}{p(s)}, \frac{p_{21}(s)}{p(s)}, \frac{p_{31}(s)}{p(s)}, \frac{p_{41}(s)}{p(s)}\right) =$$

$$= \left(\frac{-1+2s^3-s}{s}, \frac{s+1}{s}, \frac{-1}{s}, \frac{s^2+3s-5}{s}\right) \in \mathbb{R}(s)^4.$$

We compute the solutions of the equation $p(s) - tp_{11}(s) = 0$ around $t = 0$. We get the Puiseux series

$$\ell_1(t) = -t + t^2 - t^3 - t^4 + 7t^5 + \cdots$$

$$\ell_2(t) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}t^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}t^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{1}{16}\sqrt{2}t^{3/2} - \frac{1}{2}t^2 - \frac{11}{32}\sqrt{2}t^{5/2} + \frac{1}{2}t^3 + \frac{235}{256}\sqrt{2}t^{7/2} + \cdots$$

(note that $\ell_2(t)$ represents a conjugation class composed by two conjugated series).

Now, we determine the series $r_{i,j}(z)$, $i = 1, 2$, $j = 2, 3, 4$. We get

$$r_{1,2}(z) = p_2(\ell_1(z^{-1})) = -z + 2z^{-2} - 4z^{-3} - 13z^{-4} - 11z^{-5} + \cdots$$

$$r_{1,3}(z) = p_3(\ell_1(z^{-1})) = z + 1 - 2z^{-2} + 4z^{-3} + 13z^{-4} + 11z^{-5} + \cdots$$

$$r_{1,4}(z) = p_4(\ell_1(z^{-1})) = 5z + 8 - z^{-1} - 9z^{-2} + 19z^{-3} + 64z^{-4} + 62z^{-5} + \cdots,$$

and

$$r_{2,2}(z) = p_2(\ell_2(z^{-1})) = 1 + \sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} - \tfrac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2} - z^{-2} + \tfrac{3}{8}\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2} + 2z^{-3} + \cdots$$

$$r_{2,3}(z) = p_3(\ell_2(z^{-1})) = -\sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2} + z^{-2} - \tfrac{3}{8}\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2} - 2z^{-3} + \cdots$$

$$r_{2,4}(z) = p_4(\ell_2(z^{-1})) = \tfrac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}z^{1/2} + 3 - \tfrac{19}{4}\sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} + \tfrac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \tfrac{39}{16}\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2} + \tfrac{9}{2}z^{-2} - \tfrac{71}{32}\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2} - \tfrac{19}{2}z^{-3} + \cdots$$

Therefore, the curve has two infinity branches given by

$$B_1 = \{(z, r_{1,2}(z), r_{1,3}(z), r_{1,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_1\}$$

and

$$B_2 = \{(z, r_{2,2}(z), r_{2,3}(z), r_{2,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_2\}$$

for some $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Note that B_1 is associated to the infinity point $(1 : -1 : 1 : 5 : 0)$, and B_2 is associated to the infinity point $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0)$. In addition, we observe that $\nu(B_1) = 1$ and $\nu(B_2) = 2$, and thus B_1 has one leaf, and B_2 has two (conjugated) leaves.

3 Asymptotic behavior and Hausdorff distance

In this section, we consider algebraic curves in the n-dimensional space defined by a finite set of real polynomials or by a rational parametrization. Depending on whether they are defined parametrically or implicitly one proceeds as in Subsection 2.1 or as in Subsection 2.2 to compute their infinity branches.

We remind that the input curves are prepared such that $(0 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$ ($m_j \neq 0$ for some $j = 2, \ldots, n$) is not an infinity point of their corresponding projective curves (see Remark 2.3).

The main result of the section states that the Hausdorff distance between two algebraic curves is finite if and only if their asymptotic behaviors are similar (we say that two algebraic curves have similar asymptotic behaviors if their infinity branches are pair-wise non-divergent; see Definition 3.1).

The computation of the Hausdorff distance plays an important role in the frame of practical applications in computer aided geometric design such as approximate parametrization problems (see Section 1). In particular, estimating the Hausdorff distance between two curves is specially interesting since it is an appropriate tool for measuring the closeness between them. Many authors have addressed some problems in this frame (see e.g. [4], [9], [19], [20], [26], etc).

To start with, we first introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *We say that two algebraic curves, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, have a* similar asymptotic behavior *if, for every infinity branch $B \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ there exist an infinity branch $\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ non-divergent with B, and reciprocally.*

Now, we introduce the notion of *Hausdorff distance*. For this purpose, we recall that, given an algebraic space curve $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$, *the distance from p to $\mathcal{C}$* is defined as $d(p, \mathcal{C}) = \min\{d(p, q) : q \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Definition 3.2. *Given a metric space (E, d) and two subsets $A, B \subset E \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, the* Hausdorff distance *between them is defined as:*

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y), \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} d(x, y)\}.$$

If $E = \mathbb{C}^n$ and d is the unitary distance, the Hausdorff distance between two curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ can be expressed as:

$$d_H(\mathcal{C}, \overline{\mathcal{C}}) = \max\{\sup_{p \in \mathcal{C}} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}}), \sup_{\overline{p} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}} d(\overline{p}, \mathcal{C})\}.$$

In order to prove the main theorem (see Theorem 3.5), we first need to prove some technical lemmas. The first one (Lemma 3.3) states that any point of the curve with sufficiently large coordinates belongs to some infinity branch (see also Lemma 3.6 and Remark 3.7 in [7]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an algebraic space curve. There exists $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that every $p = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $|a_i| > K$ (for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$) belongs to some infinity branch of $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof: First, let us prove that there exists $K^1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that every point $p = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $|a_1| > K^1$ belongs to some infinity branch.

Let us assume that this is not true and let us consider a sequence $\{K_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} K_\kappa = \infty$. Then, for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a point $p_\kappa = (a_{1,\kappa}, \ldots, a_{n,\kappa}) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|a_{1,\kappa}| > K_\kappa$, and p_κ does not belong to any infinity branch of $\mathcal{C}$. The corresponding projective point is $P_\kappa = (a_{1,\kappa} : \ldots : a_{n,\kappa} : 1)$, and it holds that $F(P_\kappa) = f(p_\kappa) = 0$. Thus, we have a sequence $\{P_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in the projective curve $\mathcal{C}^*$ such that $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} |a_{1,\kappa}| = \infty$. Note that these projective points can be expressed as

$$P_\kappa = (1 : a_{2,\kappa}/a_{1,\kappa} : \ldots : a_{n,\kappa}/a_{1,\kappa} : 1/a_{1,\kappa}).$$

Under these conditions, we extract a subsequence $\{P_{\kappa_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ for the sequences $\{a_{i,\kappa_l}/a_{1,\kappa_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$ to be monotone. In order to simplify the notation, we also denote it as $\{P_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$. Now, we distinguish two different cases:

1. Let us assume that all these monotone sequences are bounded. Then, $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} a_{i,\kappa}/a_{1,\kappa} = m_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$ and $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} 1/a_{1,\kappa} = 0$. Furthermore, since $F(P_\kappa) = 0$ for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that $\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} F(P_\kappa) = F(\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} P_\kappa) = F(1 : m_2 : \cdots : m_n : 0) = 0$. We conclude that the sequence $\{P_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the infinity point $P = (1 : m_2 : \cdots : m_n : 0)$ as κ tends to infinity; that is, there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|P_\kappa - P\| \leq \epsilon$, for $\kappa \geq M$. Thus, we deduce that the points

$\{P_\kappa\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N},\,\kappa\geq M}$ can be obtained by a place centered at P. Hence, the points $\{p_\kappa\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N},\,\kappa\geq M}$ belong to some infinity branch of $\mathcal{C}$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

2. If not all the sequences are bounded, then there is some $i = 2,\ldots,n$ such that $\lim_{l\to\infty} a_{i,\kappa}/a_{1,\kappa} = \pm\infty$. We assume without lost of generality that $\lim_{l\to\infty} a_{2,\kappa}/a_{1,\kappa} = \pm\infty$. Then, we write

$$P_\kappa = (a_{1,\kappa}/a_{2,\kappa} : 1 : a_{3,\kappa}/a_{2,\kappa} : \ldots : a_{n,\kappa}/a_{2,\kappa} : 1/a_{2,\kappa}),$$

and we extract a subsequence $\{P_{\kappa_l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ for the sequences $\{a_{i,\kappa_l}/a_{2,\kappa_l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$, $i = 3,\ldots,n$ to be monotone. For the sake of simplicity, we denote it by $\{P_\kappa\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$.

At this point, we consider two different situations:

- If all these monotone sequences are bounded, we get that

$$\lim_{\kappa\to\infty} a_{i,\kappa}/a_{1,\kappa} = m_i \in \mathbb{C},\ i = 3,\ldots,n.$$

Furthermore, $\lim_{\kappa\to\infty} a_{1,\kappa}/a_{2,\kappa} = \lim_{\kappa\to\infty} 1/a_{2,\kappa} = 0$ and thus, reasoning as above, we deduce that the sequence $\{P_\kappa\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to an infinity point $P = (0 : 1 : m_3 : \cdots : m_n : 0)$.

- If some of the sequences $\{a_{i,\kappa_l}/a_{2,\kappa_l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$, $i = 3,\ldots,n$ are not bounded, we can assume w.l.o.g. that $\lim_{l\to\infty} a_{3,\kappa}/a_{2,\kappa} = \pm\infty$ and we reason as above. Finally, we obtain a subsequence that converges to an infinity point of the form $(0 : m_2 : m_3 : \cdots : m_n : 0)$.

In both cases, we find a contradiction, since we have prepared the input curve such that it does not have infinity points of the form $(0 : m_2 : m_3 : \cdots : m_n : 0)$.

From the above discussion, the initial assumption leads us to a contradiction. Therefore, there exists $K^1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that every point of the curve $p = (a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ with $|a_1| > K^1$ belongs to some infinity branch. Reasoning similarly, we deduce that for each $i = 2,\ldots,n$, there exists $K^i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that every point of the curve $p = (a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ with $|a_i| > K^i$ belongs to some infinity branch. Finally, the result follows by taking $K = \min\{K^1,\ldots,K^n\}$. □

The following technical lemma states that, given two divergent branches B and $\overline{B}$, we can find points in B as far as we want from any point in $\overline{B}$ (and reciprocally).

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $B = \{(z, r_2(z), \ldots, r_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$ *and* $\overline{B} = \{(z, \overline{r}_2(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\}$ *be two divergent infinity branches. For each* $K > 0$*, there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that if* $|x| > \delta$ *then* $d((x, r_2(x), \ldots, r_n(x)), (y, \overline{r}_2(y), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(y))) > K$ *for any point* $(y, \overline{r}_2(y), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(y)) \in \overline{B}$.

Proof: We assume w.l.o.g. that B is associated to the infinity point $(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$ (otherwise we can apply a linear change of coordinates). Note that since all the norms in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are equivalent, there exists some $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$d((x, r_2(x), \ldots, r_n(x)), (y, \overline{r}_2(y), \ldots, \overline{r}_n(y))) >$$

$$\lambda(|x - y| + |r_2(x) - \overline{r}_2(y)| + \cdots + |r_n(x) - \overline{r}_n(y)|).$$

Thus, we only need to prove that, for each $K > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x| > \delta$ then

$$\phi(x, y) := |x - y| + |r_2(x) - \overline{r}_2(y)| + \cdots + |r_n(x) - \overline{r}_n(y)| > K.$$

First of all, if $|x - y| > K$ the result follows, so we assume that $|x - y| \leq K$. Hence, $|y| > |x| - K$ since $|x - y| > |x| - |y|$.
On the other hand, note that

$$|r_i(x) - \overline{r}_i(y)| = |\overline{r}_i(y) - r_i(x)| > |\overline{r}_i(y) - r_i(y) + r_i(y) - r_i(x)| >$$

$$> |\overline{r}_i(y) - r_i(y)| - |r_i(y) - r_i(x)|, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n \tag{4}$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.11 in [7], we get that $r_i(z)$ is derivable for $|z| > M$ and $\text{limit}_{z \to \infty} r_i'(z) = m_i$, where $(1 : m_2 : \ldots : m_n : 0)$ is the infinity point associated to B. In this case $m_i = 0$, so there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $|z| > \delta_0$, it holds that $|r_i'(z)| < 1/\sqrt{2}$. Hence, applying the Mean Value Theorem (see [1]), we have that if $|x|, |y| > \delta_0$, then

$$|r_i(x) - r_i(y)|^2 = (\text{Re}(r_i\,'(c_1))^2 + \text{Im}(r_i\,'(c_2))^2)|x - y|^2, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n$$

where $\text{Re}(q)$ and $\text{Im}(q)$ denote the real part and the imaginary part of $q(z) \in \mathbb{C} \ll z \gg$, respectively, and $c_1, c_2 \in]x, y[$, where $]x, y[:= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = x + (x -$

$y)t$, $t \in (0,1)\}$. Since $|r_i'(z)| < 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $|z| > \delta_0$, we get that $|r_i(y) - r_i(x)| < |x-y|$, for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. In addition, since $|y| > |x| - K$, we deduce that $|r_i(y) - r_i(x)| < |x-y|$ for $|x| > \delta_0 + K$, and $i = 2, \ldots, n$.
Now, substituting in (4), we get that

$$|r_i(x) - \overline{r}_i(y)| > |\overline{r}_i(y) - r_i(y)| - |x-y|$$

which implies that $\phi(x,y) > |\overline{r}_i(y) - r_i(y)|$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Note that, since B and $\overline{B}$ are divergent branches, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $|\overline{r}_{i_0}(y) - r_{i_0}(y)|$ may be as large as we want by choosing $|x|$ (and thus $|y|$) large enough (see Remark 2.5, statement 2). Then, for each $K > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x| > \delta$, it holds that $\phi(x,y) > |\overline{r}_{i_0}(y) - r_{i_0}(y)| > K$. $\square$

Under these conditions, we obtain Theorem 3.5 that characterizes whether the Hausdorff distance between two curves is finite.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be two algebraic space curves. It holds that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ have a similar asymptotic behavior if and only if the Hausdorff distance between them is finite.*

Proof: First, let us prove that if $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ have a similar asymptotic behavior then, the Hausdorff distance between them is finite.

Let κ be the number of infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}$. Then, $\mathcal{C} = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_\kappa \cup \widehat{B}$, where $\widehat{B}$ is the set of points of $\mathcal{C}$ that do not belong to any infinity branch. Thus,

$$\sup_{p \in \mathcal{C}} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}}) = \max\{\sup_{p \in B_1} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}}), ..., \sup_{p \in B_\kappa} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}}), \sup_{p \in \widehat{B}} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}})\}.$$

For each $i = 1, ..., \kappa$, let $B_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_i} L_{i,j}$, where $L_{i,j} = \{(z, r_{i,j,2}(z), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_i\}$, and $N_i = \nu(B_i)$. Then,

$$\sup_{p \in B_i} d(p, \overline{\mathcal{C}}) = \max_{j=1,\ldots,N_i} \left\{ \sup_{|z|>M_i} d((z, r_{i,j,2}(z), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(z)), \overline{\mathcal{C}}) \right\}.$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ have a similar asymptotic behavior then there exists an infinity branch $\overline{B}_i \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ non-divergent with B_i (see Definition 3.1). This implies that there is a leaf

$$\overline{L}_{i,j} = \{(z, \overline{r}_{i,j,2}(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_{i,j,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}_i\} \subset \overline{B}_i$$

such that

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} d((r_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,r_{i,j,n}(z)),(\overline{r}_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,\overline{r}_{i,j,n}(z)) = c_{i,j} < \infty$$

(see Lemma 2.10 and Remark 2.6). Then

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} d((z,r_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,r_{i,j,n}(z)),\overline{\mathcal{C}}) \leq$$

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} d((z,r_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,r_{i,j,n}(z)),(z,\overline{r}_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,\overline{r}_{i,j,n}(z))) = c_{i,j} < \infty$$

Hence, given $\eta > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $|z| > \delta$ it holds that

$$d((z,r_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,r_{i,j,n}(z)),\overline{\mathcal{C}}) < \eta$$

for every $i = 1,\dots,\kappa$ and $j = 1,\dots,N_i$.

On the other hand, since $r_{i,j,2},\dots,r_{i,j,n}$ are continuous functions, and $\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\ M_i \leq |z| \leq \delta\}$ is a compact set, there exists $\xi > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{M_i\leq|z|\leq\delta} d((z,r_{i,j,2}(z),\dots,r_{i,j,n}(z)),\overline{\mathcal{C}}) < \xi$$

for every $i = 1,\dots,\kappa$ and $j = 1,\dots,N_i$.

As a consequence, we have that

$$\sup_{p\in B_i} d(p,\overline{\mathcal{C}}) \leq \max\{\xi,\eta\} < \infty.$$

Now, let $p = (a_1,\dots,a_n) \in \widehat{B}$. From Lemma 3.3, we have that there exists $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $|a_i| \leq K$, for $i = 1,\dots,n$. Thus, $d(p,\mathcal{O}) \leq K$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the origin and,

$$d(p,\overline{\mathcal{C}}) \leq d(p,\mathcal{O}) + d(\mathcal{O},\overline{\mathcal{C}}) \leq K + d(\mathcal{O},\overline{\mathcal{C}}).$$

Note that $K < \infty$, and $d(\mathcal{O},\overline{\mathcal{C}}) < \infty$, which implies that $\sup_{p\in\widehat{B}} d(p,\overline{\mathcal{C}}) < \infty$.

Therefore, we conclude that $\sup_{p\in\mathcal{C}} d(p,\overline{\mathcal{C}}) < \infty$. Reasoning similarly, we deduce that $\sup_{\overline{p}\in\overline{\mathcal{C}}} d(\overline{p},\mathcal{C}) < \infty$, which implies that $d_H(\mathcal{C},\overline{\mathcal{C}}) < \infty$.

Reciprocally, let us assume that the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite (that is, $d_H(\mathcal{C},\overline{\mathcal{C}}) = K < \infty$), and let us prove that the asymptotic

behavior of both curves is similar (i.e. for any infinity branch $B \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ there exists an infinity branch $\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ that does not diverge with B).

For this purpose, we assume that this statement does not hold and let $B = \{(z, r_{i,j,2}(z), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be such that every infinity branch of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ diverges from B. Then, according to Lemma 3.4, for each infinity branch $\overline{B}_i = \{(z, \overline{r}_{i,j,2}(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_{i,j,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}_i\} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, \kappa)$, there exists $\delta_i > 0$ such that if $|x| > \delta_i$, then

$$d((x, r_{i,j,2}(x), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(x)), (\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n)) > K$$

for every $(\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n) \in \overline{B}_i$. In addition, from Lemma 3.3, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that any point $(\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ with $|\overline{a}_j| > \delta_0$ for some $j = 1, \ldots, n$, belongs to some infinity branch $\overline{B}_i \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Under these conditions, let $\delta := \max\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_\kappa\}$, and we consider a point $(x, r_{i,j,2}(x), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(x)) \in B$ such that $|x| > \delta + K$. Since $d_H(\mathcal{C}, \overline{\mathcal{C}}) = K$, there should exist some point $(\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$d((x, r_{i,j,2}(x), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(x)), (\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n)) \leq K.$$

However, this implies that $|\overline{a}_1| > |x| - K$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.4) and, hence, $|\overline{a}_1| > \delta$. Now, Lemma 3.3 states that this point must belong to some infinity branch $\overline{B}_i \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ and then, Lemma 3.4 claims that

$$d((x, r_{i,j,2}(x), \ldots, r_{i,j,n}(x)), (\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \ldots, \overline{a}_n)) > K,$$

which is a contradiction. □

The following algorithm allows us to decide whether the Hausdorff distance between two curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite. We assume that we have prepared $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ by means of a suitable linear change of coordinates (the same change applied to both curves), such that $(0 : a_2 : \ldots : a_n : 0)$ $(a_i \neq 0$ for some $i = 2, \ldots, n)$ is not an infinity point of $\mathcal{C}^*$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^*$ (see Remark 2.3).

Algorithm Hausdorff Distance.

Given two algebraic space curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ in the n-dimensional space, the algorithm decides whether the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite.

1. Compute the infinity points of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. If they are not the same, RETURN *the Hausdorff distance between the curves* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *is not finite*. Otherwise, let $P_1, \ldots, P_\kappa$ be these infinity points.

2. For each $P_\ell := (1 : m_{\ell,2} : \ldots : m_{\ell,n} : 0), \ell = 1, \ldots, \kappa$ do:

   2.1. Compute the infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}$ associated to P_ℓ (see Subsections 2.1 and 2.2). Let $B_1, ..., B_{n_\ell}$ be these branches. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n_\ell$, let $B_i = \{(z, r_{i,2}(z), \ldots, r_{i,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_i\}$.

   2.2. Compute the infinity branches of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ associated to P_ℓ (see Subsections 2.1 and 2.2). Let $\overline{B}_1, ..., \overline{B}_{l_\ell}$ be these branches. For each $j = 1, \ldots, l_\ell$, let $\overline{B}_j = \{(z, \overline{r}_{j,2}(z), \ldots, \overline{r}_{j,n}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_j\}$.

   2.3. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n_\ell$, find $j = 1, \ldots, l_\ell$ such that the terms with positive exponent in $r_{i,k}(z)$ and $\overline{r}_{j,k}(z)$ for $k = 2, \ldots, n$, are the same up to conjugation. If there isn't such $j = 1, \ldots, l_\ell$, RETURN *the Hausdorff distance between the curves* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *is not finite* (see Lemmas 2.7 and 2.10, and Theorem 3.5).

   2.4. For each $j = 1, \ldots, l_\ell$, find $i = 1, \ldots, n_\ell$ such that the terms with positive exponent in $r_{i,k}(z)$ and $\overline{r}_{j,k}(z)$ for $k = 2, \ldots, n$, are the same up to conjugation. If there isn't such $i = 1, \ldots, n_\ell$, RETURN *the Hausdorff distance between the curves* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *is not finite* (see Lemmas 2.7 and 2.10, and Theorem 3.5).

3. RETURN *the Hausdorff distance between the curves* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *is finite.*

In the following, we illustrate the performance of algorithm Hausdorff Distance with two examples. In the first one, we compare two rational curves defined parametrically. In the second one, the curves are defined implicitly.

Example 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be two rational space curves in the 4-dimensional space defined by the parametrizations*

$$\mathcal{P}(s) = \left(\frac{-1+2s^3-s}{s}, \frac{s+1}{s}, \frac{-1}{s}, \frac{s^2+3s-5}{s}\right)$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \left(\frac{-1+2s^3-s^2}{s}, \frac{s+1}{s}, \frac{-1}{s}, \frac{s^2+3s-5}{s}\right),$$

respectively. We apply the algorithm Hausdorff Distance *to decide whether the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite.*

Step 1: *Compute the infinity points of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. We obtain that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same infinity points: $P_1 = (1 : -1 : 1 : 5 : 0)$ and $P_2 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0)$.*

We start by analyzing the infinity branches associated to P_1:

Step 2.1: *Reasoning as in Example 2.14, we get only one infinity branch associated to P_1 in $\mathcal{C}$. It is given by $B_1 = \{(z, r_{1,2}(z), r_{1,3}(z), r_{1,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_1\}$, where*

$$r_{1,2}(z) = -z + 2z^{-2} - 4z^{-3} - 13z^{-4} - 11z^{-5} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{1,3}(z) = z + 1 - 2z^{-2} + 4z^{-3} + 13z^{-4} + 11z^{-5} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{1,4}(z) = 5z + 8 - z^{-1} - 9z^{-2} + 19z^{-3} + 64z^{-4} + 62z^{-5} + \cdots.$$

Step 2.2: *We also have that there exists only one infinity branch associated to P_1 in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. It is given by $\overline{B}_1 = \{(z, \overline{r}_{1,2}(z), \overline{r}_{1,3}(z), \overline{r}_{1,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}_1\}$, where*

$$\overline{r}_{1,2}(z) = -z + 1 + z^{-1} + 2z^{-2} + z^{-3} - 4z^{-4} - 7z^{-5} + \cdots,$$

$$\overline{r}_{1,3}(z) = z - z^{-1} - 2z^{-2} - z^{-3} + 4z^{-4} + 7z^{-5} + \cdots,$$

$$\overline{r}_{1,4}(z) = 5z + 3 - 6z^{-1} - 10z^{-2} - 6z^{-3} + 18z^{-4} + 33z^{-5} + \cdots.$$

Step 2.3 *and* Step 2.4: *$r_{1,j}(z)$ and $\overline{r}_{1,j}(z)$, $j = 2, 3, 4$ have the same terms with positive exponent. Thus, the branches B_1 and $\overline{B}_1$ do not diverge.*

Now we analyze the infinity branches associated to P_2:

Step 2.1: *Reasoning as in Example 2.14, we get that the only infinity branch associated to* P_2 *in* $\mathcal{C}$ *is given by* $B_2 = \{(z, r_{2,2}(z), r_{2,3}(z), r_{2,4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M_2\}$, *where*

$$r_{2,2}(z) = 1 + \sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2}}{2} - z^{-2} + \frac{3\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2}}{8} + 2z^{-3} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{2,3}(z) = -\sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2}}{2} + z^{-2} - \frac{3\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2}}{8} - 2z^{-3} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{2,4}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}z^{1/2}}{2} + 3 - \frac{19\sqrt{2}z^{-1/2}}{4} + \frac{z^{-1}}{2} - \frac{39\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2}}{16} + \frac{9z^{-2}}{2} + \cdots.$$

We note that $\nu(B_2) = 2$, *and thus* B_2 *has 2 (conjugated) leaves. That is,* $B_2 = L_{2,1} \cup L_{2,2}$, *where* $L_{2,i}$ *are obtained by conjugation in the series* $r_{2,2}, r_{2,3}$ *and* $r_{2,4}$.

Step 2.2: *We also have that there exists only one infinity branch associated to* P_2 *in* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. *It is given by* $\overline{B}_2 = \{(z, \overline{r}_{2,2}(z), \overline{r}_{2,3}(z), \overline{r}_{2,i4}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}_2\}$, $i = 1, 2$, *and*

$$\overline{r}_{2,2}(z) = 1 + \sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{z^{-1}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2}}{16} - z^{-2} + \frac{383\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2}}{512} - \frac{z^{-3}}{2} + \cdots,$$

$$\overline{r}_{2,3}(z) = -\sqrt{2}z^{-1/2} + \frac{z^{-1}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2}}{16} + z^{-2} - \frac{383\sqrt{2}z^{-5/2}}{512} + \frac{z^{-3}}{2} + \cdots,$$

$$\overline{r}_{2,4}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}z^{1/2}}{2} + \frac{13}{4} - \frac{159\sqrt{2}z^{-1/2}}{32} + 3z^{-1} - \frac{449\sqrt{2}z^{-3/2}}{1024} + 5z^{-2} + \cdots.$$

We note that $\nu(\overline{B}_2) = 2$, *and thus* $\overline{B}_2$ *has 2 (conjugated) leaves. That is,* $\overline{B}_2 = \overline{L}_{2,1} \cup \overline{L}_{2,2}$, *where* $\overline{L}_{2,i}$ *are obtained by conjugation in the series* $\overline{r}_{2,2}, \overline{r}_{2,3}$ *and* $\overline{r}_{2,4}$.

Step 2.3 *and* Step 2.4: $r_{2,j}(z)$ *and* $\overline{r}_{2,j}(z)$, $j = 2, 3, 4$ *have the same terms with positive exponent. Thus, the branches* B_2 *and* $\overline{B}_2$ *do not diverge.*

Step 3: *The algorithm returns that the Hausdorff distance between* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *is finite.*

We observe that, in this case, the infinity branches of $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *do not converge neither diverge (see Figure 2).*



Figure 2: Projections of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ along the axis x_2.

Example 3.7. *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *be two space curves in the* 3*-dimensional space implicitly defined by the polynomials*

$$f_1(x_1,x_2,x_3) = -x_2 + x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2^2 + x_2^4, \quad f_2(x_1,x_2,x_3) = x_1 + x_2^2 - x_3x_2^2 - x_3$$

and

$$\overline{f}_1(x_1,x_2,x_3) = x_2^2 - x_1, \quad \overline{f}_2(x_1,x_2,x_3) = 2x_1 - x_3x_2^2 - x_3,$$

respectively. We apply the algorithm Hausdorff Distance *to decide whether the Hausdorff distance between* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *is finite:*

Step 1: *Compute the infinity points of* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$*. We obtain that* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *have* $P = (1:0:0:0)$ *as their unique infinity point.*

We analyze the infinity branches associated to P*:*

Step 2.1: *Reasoning as in Example 2.12, we get that the only infinity branch associated to* P *in* $\mathcal{C}$ *is given by* $B = \{(z, r_2(z), r_3(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$*, where*

$$r_2(z) = z^{1/2} + \frac{z^{-1/4}}{2} - \frac{z^{-7/4}}{64} + \frac{z^{-10/4}}{128} + \cdots,$$

$$r_3(z) = 2 - z^{-3/4} - 2z^{-1} + \frac{3z^{-3/2}}{4} + 3z^{-7/4} + \cdots .$$

We note that $\nu(B) = 4$, and thus B has 4 (conjugated) leaves. That is, $B = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{4} L_\alpha$, where L_α are obtained by conjugation in the series r_2 and r_3.

Step 2.2: *We also have that there exists only one infinity branch associated to P in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. It is given by $\overline{B} = \{(z, \overline{r}_2(z), \overline{r}_3(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\}$, where*

$$\overline{r}_2(z) = z^{1/2},$$

$$\overline{r}_3(z) = 2 - 2z^{-1} + 2z^{-2} - 2z^{-3} + 2z^{-4} - 2z^{-5} + \cdots .$$

We note that $\nu(\overline{B}) = 2$, and thus $\overline{B}$ has 2 (conjugated) leaves. That is, $\overline{B} = \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{2} \overline{L}_\beta$, where $\overline{L}_\beta$ are obtained by conjugation in the series $\overline{r}_2$ and $\overline{r}_3$.

Step 2.3 *and* Step 2.4: *$r_j(z)$ and $\overline{r}_j(z)$, $j = 2, 3$, have the same terms with positive exponent. Thus, the infinity branches B and $\overline{B}$ do not diverge.*

Step 3: *The algorithm returns that the Hausdorff distance between the curves $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite (see Figure 3).*



Figure 3: $\mathcal{C}$ (left), $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ (center), and the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ (right)

Remark 3.8. *Results obtained in Example 3.7 could be surprising for the reader since in Figure 3, the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ does not seem to be finite. The explanation of this phenomenon is that throughout this paper, we are dealing with the whole curve (including its complex part) but clearly, if we restrict to the real part, the Hausdorff distance could go from being finite (if we consider the curves over $\mathbb{C}$) to be infinite (if we consider the curves over $\mathbb{R}$). In this example, the Hausdorff distance is infinity if we restrict to the real part of the curves. More precisely, in Example 3.7, the infinity branch $B \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ has two complex leaves that cannot be plotted. They are $L_3 = \{(z, r_{3,2}(z), r_{3,3}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$, where*

$$r_{3,2}(z) = -z^{1/2} + \frac{Iz^{-1/4}}{2} + \frac{Iz^{-7/4}}{64} - \frac{z^{-5/2}}{128} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{3,3}(z) = 2 + Iz^{-3/4} - 2z^{-1} - \frac{3z^{-3/2}}{4} - 3Iz^{-7/4} + \cdots,$$

and $L_4 = \{(z, r_{4,2}(z), r_{4,3}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > M\}$, where

$$r_{4,2}(z) = -z^{1/2} - \frac{Iz^{-1/4}}{2} - \frac{Iz^{-7/4}}{64} - \frac{z^{-5/2}}{128} + \cdots,$$

$$r_{4,3}(z) = 2 - Iz^{-3/4} - 2z^{-1} - \frac{3z^{-3/2}}{4} + 3Iz^{-7/4} + \cdots.$$

Note that the imaginary parts of these series are given by terms with negative exponent, which means that they vanish as z grows to infinity. Hence, both leaves converge to the real leaf $\overline{L}_2 = \{(z, \overline{r}_{2,2}(z), \overline{r}_{2,3}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > \overline{M}\}$, where

$$\overline{r}_{2,2}(z) = -z^{1/2},$$

$$\overline{r}_{2,3}(z) = 2 - 2z^{-1} + 2z^{-2} - 2z^{-3} + 2z^{-4} - 2z^{-5} + \cdots,$$

that belongs to the branch $\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Summarizing, Example 3.7 shows that a complex leaf may converge to a real one. Furthermore, the Hausdorff distance between two curves may be finite while the distance between their real parts is infinite.

References

[1] Ahlfors, L.V. (1979). *Complex Analysis.* McGraw-Hill, Third Edition.

[2] Aliprantis, C.D., Border, K.C. (2006). *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*. Springer-Verlag.

[3] Alonso, M.E., Mora, T., Niesi, G., Raimondo, M. (1992). *Local Parametrization of Space Curves at Singular Points* Computer Graphics and Mathematics. Focus on Computer Graphics. pp: 61–90.

[4] Bai, Y.-B., Yong, J.-H., Liu, C.-Y., Liu, X.-M., Meng, Y. (2011). *Polyline approach for approximating Hausdorff distance between planar free-form curves.* Computer Aided Design. Vol. 43 (6). pp: 687-698.

[5] Bajaj, C.L., Xu, G. (1997). *Spline Approximations of Real Algebraic Surfaces.* Journal of Symbolic Computation. Vol 23. pp: 315–333.

[6] Blasco, A., Pérez-Díaz, S. (2014). *Asymptotes and Perfect Curves*. Computer Aided Geometric Design. Vol. 31, Issue 2. pp: 81–96.

[7] Blasco, A., Pérez-Díaz, S. (2014). *Asymptotic Behavior of an Implicit Algebraic Plane Curve*. Computer Aided Geometric Design. DOI 10.1016/j.cagd.2014.04.002 (to appear).

[8] Blasco, A., Pérez-Díaz, S. (2014). *Asymptotes of Space Curves*. arxiv.org/abs/1404.6380v2.

[9] Chen, X.D., Ma, W., Xu, G., Paul, J.C. (2010). *Computing the Hausdorff distance between two B-spline curves.* Computer Aided Design, Vol. 42. pp: 1197–1206.

[10] Dokken, T. (2001). *Computing the Hausdorff distance between two B-spline curves.* Mathematical Methods in CAGD. T. Lyche and L.L. Schumaker (eds). Vanderbilt University Press, Oslo. pp: 81–102.

[11] Duval, D. (1989). *Rational Puiseux Expansion.* Compositio Mathematica. Vol. 70. pp: 119–154.

[12] Emiris, I.Z., Kalinka, T., Konaxis, C. (2012). *Implicitization of curves and surfaces using predicted support.* Proceedings of the 2011 International Workshop on Symbolic-Numeric Computation. ACM, pp. 137-146.

[13] Gao, B., Chen, Y. (2012). *Finding the Topology of Implicitly defined two Algebraic Plane Curves.* Journal of Systems Science and Complexity. Vol. 25 (2) pp: 362–374.

[14] González-Vega, L., Necula, I. (2002). *Efficient Topology Determination of Implicitly defined Algebraic Plane Curves.* Comput. Aided Geom. Design. Vol. 19(9). pp: 719–743.

[15] Henrikson, J. (1999). *Completeness and Total Boundedness of the Hausdorff Metric.* MIT Undergraduate Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 1. pp: 69–80.

[16] Hong, H. (1996). *An Effective Method for Analyzing the Topology of Plane Real Algebraic Curves.* Math. Comput. Simulation. Vol. 42. pp: 572–582.

[17] Huttenlocher, D.P., Klanderman, G.A., Rucklidge, W.J. (1989). *Comparing images using the Hausdorff distance.* The IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence . Vol. 15, Issue 9. pp: 850–863.

[18] Jüttler, B. (2000). *Bounding the Hausdorff distance of implicitly defined and/or parametric curves.* Mathematical Methods for Curves and Surfaces. pp: 223-232.

[19] Kim, Y.-J., Oh, Y.-T., Yoon, S.-H., Kim, M.-S., Elber, G. (2010). *Precise Hausdorff distance computation for planar freeform curves using biarcs and depth buffer.* Vis. Comput. Vol. 26 (68). pp: 1007–1016.

[20] Patrikalakis, N., Maekawa, T. (2001). *Shape Interrogation for Computer Aided Design and Manufacturing.* Springer-Verlag, New York.

[21] Pérez–Díaz S., Sendra J., Sendra J.R. (2004). *Parametrization of Approximate Algebraic Curves by Lines.* Theoretical Comp. Science. Vol. 315/2-3. pp: 627–650.

[22] Pérez–Díaz S., Sendra J., Sendra J.R. (2005). *Parametrization of Approximate Algebraic Surfaces by Lines.* Computer Aided Geometric Design. Vol. 22(92). pp: 147–181.

[23] Pérez-Díaz, S., Rueda, S.L., Sendra, J., Sendra, J.R. (2010). *Approximate parametrization of plane algebraic curves by linear systems of curves*. Comput. Aided Geom. Des. Vol. 27. pp: 212-231.

[24] Pérez-Díaz, S., Sendra, J.R. (2013). *Behavior of the Fiber and the Base Points of Parametrizations under Projections.* Mathematics in Computer Science. Volume 7, Issue 2. pp: 167–184.

[25] Rueda, S., Sendra, J. (2012). *On the performance of the approximate parametrization algorithm for curves*. Inf. Process. Lett. Vol. 112. pp: 172-178.

[26] Rueda, S., Sendra, J.R., Sendra, J. (2013). *An Algorithm to Parametrize Approximately Space Curves*. Journal of Symbolic Computation. Vol. 56. pp: 80-106

[27] Rueda S., Sendra J.R., Sendra J. (2014). *Bounding and Estimating the Hausdorff distance between real space algebraic curves*. Computer Aided Geometric Design. Vol. 31, Issues 34. pp: 182-198.

[28] Sendra, J.R., Winkler, F., Perez-Diaz, S. (2007). *Rational Algebraic Curves: A Computer Algebra Approach*. Series: Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Vol. 22. Springer Verlag.

[29] Vivek, E.P., Sudha, N. (2007). *Robust Hausdorff distance measure for face recognition*. Patter Recognition. Vol. 40, Issue 2. pp: 431-442.

[30] Walker, R.J. (1950). *Algebraic Curves*. Princeton University Press.